## Oxford City Council Zero Emission Zone consultation

## - your response made easy!

Oxford City Council and Oxfordshire County Council are proposing to introduce the world's first Zero Emission Zone in Oxford city centre. The proposal would see diesel and petrol vehicles banned from Oxford city centre in phases, starting with some vehicle types and a few streets in 2020, and - as vehicle technology develops - moving to all vehicle types across the whole city centre in 2035. The online consultation is at <u>www.oxford.gov.uk/zez</u> (closes 26 November 2017) with a video, the ZEZ proposal, a map of proposed scheme boundaries & phases, the full ZEZ Feasibility and Implementation Study, and a button at the bottom which opens the consultation process.

There are 17 questions (copied below). Some are mandatory (marked below in bold and with an asterisk\*). The format varies from making a simple choice from a spectrum of responses (e.g. agree, disagree, don't know) through to the opportunity to set out answers and ideas within an expandable text box.

Questions 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 14,15,16 are mandatory. None of these require comments - all are single responses to the question. There is the opportunity to comment in the other, non-mandatory questions.

We have suggested some answers to the questions in the table below. Our main intention here is to enable individuals to express their views while encouraging a large positive response to the consultation – bearing in mind that **the worst outcome would be a negative public response that discourages the councils from proceeding**. If you have very limited time, please consider just answering the mandatory questions.

Question	Suggested answer
1. How important is it to tackle poor air quality in Central Oxford? *	Very important
2. Are the proposed ZEZ zones	Either 'Yes', or 'No, should be a larger area'
appropriate? *	Entier res, or no, should be a larger area
3. The draft proposal proposes to	Strongly support
exclude non-zero emission cars, taxis,	
buses and Light Commercial Vehicles	
(LCVs) in the red zone in 2020. Do you	
agree with this proposal? *	
4. The draft proposal proposes to	You could say 'no issues' or skip the question; or you
exclude non-zero emission cars, taxis,	might consider saying that the small size of this first zone
buses and LCVs in the red zone in 2020.	risks making it hard for people to experience the benefits,
What <u>issues</u> do you think this will result	or risks perpetuating the public health crisis air pollution
in for:	presents. You could suggest that more monitoring should
Businesses	take place, particularly around the ring road, as it is not
Residents and visitors	only the city centre where pollution is above legal limits.
Public transport providers	For health reasons, the monitoring should accurately
(text box for answer)	include PM2.5 and PM10 particles. These will continue to
	be produced by electric vehicles, so a concerted effort to

Question	Suggested answer
	reduce traffic levels is still needed, even with electric
	vehicles, coupled with promotion of cycling and walking.
<ul> <li>5. The draft proposal proposes to exclude non-zero emission cars, taxis, buses and LCVs in the red zone in 2020. What <u>opportunities</u> do you think this will result in for: <ul> <li>Businesses</li> <li>Residents and visitors</li> <li>Public transport providers (text box for answer)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Some suggestions: We consider air pollution in Oxford, and the UK more generally, a public health crisis. As such, <b>compliance with</b> <b>legal limits should be the overriding consideration</b> . Where areas are non-compliant with legal limits, simple cost-benefit calculations may not be appropriate. Whatever option is taken forward, targeted measures should be put in place to ensure limits are not breached, to take effect by 2020.
	The ZEZ proposed from 2020 will create an (albeit limited) experience of car-free streets which will make visiting, shopping and working in that small part of the city healthier and much more enjoyable. It will make it easier for people to interact on a human level. It will open up opportunities for low-emission transport and delivery systems such as cargo bikes to be developed, and showcase Oxford as a place where low-carbon technology is welcome. These improvements should build capacity and encourage a positive response to the widening of the ZEZ in 2025.
6. The draft proposal proposes to exclude non-zero emission cars, taxis, buses and light commercial vehicles (LCVs) in the orange zone in 2025. Do you agree with this proposal? *	Strongly support
<ul> <li>7. The draft proposal proposal?</li> <li>7. The draft proposal proposes to exclude non-zero emission taxis, buses, LVCs and cars within the orange zone by 2025. What <u>issues</u> do you think this will result in for: <ul> <li>Businesses</li> <li>Residents and visitors</li> <li>Public transport providers? (text box for answer)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	You could say 'no issues' or skip the question, or call for the orange zone implementation to be brought forward or the zone boundaries widened (especially, we suggest, to take in the Castle area, and in West Oxford, to extend to include Ferry Hinksey Road). You may want to highlight the fact that HGVs will still be able to use the ZEZ
<ul> <li>8. The draft proposal proposes to exclude non-zero emission taxis, buses, LVCs and cars within the orange zone by 2025. What <u>opportunities</u> do you think this will result in for: <ul> <li>Businesses</li> <li>Residents and visitors</li> <li>Public transport providers?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	It will enable the low-carbon technology developed over the previous five years to be implemented across most of the main shopping area in the city centre, and make the experience of visiting, working and shopping in this area healthier and more pleasant.

Question	Suggested answer
(text box for answer)	
9. The draft proposal proposes to	Strongly support
exclude non-zero emission cars, taxis,	
buses and Light Commercial Vehicles	
(LCVs) in the Green Zone by 2030. Do	
you agree with this proposal? *	
<ul> <li>10. The draft proposal proposes to exclude non-zero emission taxis, buses, LCVs and cars within the Green Zone by 2030. What <u>issues</u> do you think this will result in for: <ul> <li>Businesses</li> <li>Residents and visitors</li> <li>Public transport providers (text box for answer)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	You could say 'no issues' or skip the question, or call for the green zone implementation to be brought forward or the zone boundaries widened (we suggest it should take in a much broader area approaching the ring road). You may want to highlight the fact that HGVs will still be able to use the ZEZ
<ul> <li>11. The draft proposal proposes to exclude non-zero emission taxis, buses, LCVs and cars within the Green Zone by 2030. What <u>opportunities</u> do you think this will result in for: <ul> <li>Businesses</li> <li>Residents and visitors</li> <li>Public transport providers (text box for answer)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Extending the ZEZ to the green zone extends it beyond the shopping area and protects the health of those who live and work in the city centre. This will enable people to experience the benefits of healthier air not just for short periods but for the whole day.
<ul> <li>12. The draft proposals intend to exclude all non-zero emission vehicles within the Green Zone by 2035.</li> <li>What <u>issues</u> do you think this will result in for: <ul> <li>Businesses</li> <li>Residents and visitors</li> <li>Public transport providers</li> <li>All other vehicles including Heavy Goods Vehicles (<i>text box</i> <i>for answer</i>)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	You could say 'no issues' or skip the question, or call for the green zone implementation for all non-zero-emissions vehicles to be brought forward or the zone boundaries widened

Question	Suggested answer
13. The draft proposals intend to	You could welcome the fact that HGVs will now be
exclude all non-zero emission vehicles	excluded
within the Green Zone by 2035.	
What opportunities do you think this	
will result in for:	
Businesses	
<ul> <li>Residents and visitors</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Public transport providers</li> </ul>	
All other vehicles including	
Heavy Goods Vehicles? ( <i>text</i>	
box for answer)	
14. Do you think that the ZEZ should	Strongly support
be extended beyond the Green Zone in	
the future? *	
15. Do you think that historic vehicles	
should exempt from the ZEZ? *	
16. Do you think mopeds/motorcycles	Strongly oppose
should be exempt from the ZEZ? *	
17. What supporting measures do you	You could choose from any or all of our ideas below.
think should be implemented to assist	
the introduction of a Zero Emission	We strongly support the introduction of support
Zone? (text box)	measures and incentives proposed by the consultation.
	Support measures and incentives should also look at
	pedelecs for urban cargo delivery, alongside the freight
	consolidation centre (p9).
	There should be encouragement for EVs in the short term
	while public transport vehicles are upgraded, for example
	by providing free parking in the ZEZ as proposed – but the
	overall aim should be to reduce individual car use and
	greatly increase healthy modes of transport alongside
	public transport.
	The charging points for electric vehicles should all be
	powered by green electricity, otherwise our pollution will
	just be replaced with pollution elsewhere in the country.
	The limited <b>impact on PM emissions</b> suggests priority
	should also be given to encouraging <b>modal shift</b> ,
	particularly to cycling and walking.
	- A significant increase in fully segregated cycle
	lanes would encourage more people to cycle
	as they would feel safer. The provision along
	Marston Ferry Road is an exemplar of the
	standard required.
	- The city centre will have a more pleasant and
	healthy environment, so this should
	encourage more people to both walk and
	cycle, particularly if good access to public

Question	Suggested answer
Question	Suggested answertransport is also provided A reduction in central Oxford parking places would encourage a modal shift Park and Ride arrangements should be made simpler, more consistent and either free or priced to encourage useIt may be worth considering further trade-offs and nuances within options that could increase health and environmental benefits whilst limiting costs. For example, it is conceivable that a choice could be made between:- Requiring bus fleets to upgrade earlier, with costs ultimately being passed on to residents and / or local businesses (e.g. through parking charges, some increase in bus fares, other taxes or rates). Whilst this would create more costs for bus users and/ or residents on one hand, on the other hand they would benefit from reduced costs by not having to replace vehicles at the earlier date. OR- Requiring earlier car upgrades, but allowing a longer timetable for bus upgrades, with added support measures and incentives e.g. free parking for EV vehicles. In this context we believe it is worth investigating the assumptions used about modal shifts (p.41 Feasibility and Implementation Report), as we believe the limited geographical scope of all boundaries could well encourage more modal shift to offset the costs of earlier car
	<ul> <li>vehicles at the earlier date. OR</li> <li>Requiring earlier car upgrades, but allowing a longer timetable for bus upgrades, with added support measures and incentives e.g. free parking for EV vehicles. In this context we believe it is worth investigating the assumptions used about modal shifts (p.41 Feasibility and Implementation Report), as we believe the limited geographical scope of all boundaries could well encourage more modal</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Further, the potential for the ZEZ to operate only at certain times of the day is mentioned (p6) but not explored further in costing the options. Whilst data availability may make it difficult to calculate the impact of timing restrictions, it seems obvious this could aid the balance between public health benefits and business requirements in the short term.</li> </ul>